Some recent results on fractional intersecting families*

Brahadeesh Sankarnarayanan

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
bs@math.iitb.ac.in

Second Meru Combinatorics Conference 2024
2024-06-01

*Based on joint works with N. Balachandran, S. Das, R. Mathew, K.V. Kher

Fractional θ-intersecting families

Definition (Balachandran–Mathew–Mishra 2019)

Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be a rational. A collection $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $[n]$ is a (fractional) θ-intersecting family if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \neq B$, we have

$$|A \cap B| \in \{\theta|A|, \theta|B|\}.$$

Example 1

Let $\theta = 1/2$.
For $n = 8$, consider the family

$$\{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}$$

Example 1

Let $\theta = 1/2$.
For $n = 8$, consider the family

$$\{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}$$
$$\cup$$
$$\{1234, 1256, 1278\}$$

Example 1

Let $\theta = 1/2$.
For $n = 8$, consider the family

$$\{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\} \cup \{1234, 1256, 1278\}$$

This is a $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting family over $[8]$ containing 10 sets.

Example 2

Consider the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a 4×4 Hadamard matrix: it has entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ and the rows are pairwise orthogonal.

Example 2

Consider the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a 4×4 Hadamard matrix: it has entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ and the rows are pairwise orthogonal.

View each row as the $\{\pm 1\}$-incidence vector of a subset of $[4]$.

Example 2

Consider the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{matrix} 1234 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 14 \end{matrix}$$

This is a 4×4 Hadamard matrix: it has entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ and the rows are pairwise orthogonal.

View each row as the $\{\pm 1\}$-incidence vector of a subset of $[4]$.

Example 2

Consider the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{matrix} 1234 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 14 \end{matrix}$$

This is a 4×4 Hadamard matrix: it has entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ and the rows are pairwise orthogonal.

View each row as the $\{\pm 1\}$-incidence vector of a subset of $[4]$.

This defines a $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting family.

Example 2

Next, consider the block matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & H \\ H & -H \\ H & -J \end{bmatrix},$$

where J is the all-ones matrix.

Example 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\qquad
\begin{array}{l}
12345678 \\
1357 \\
1256 \\
1458 \\
1234 \\
1368 \\
1278 \\
1467 \\
1234 \\
13 \\
12 \\
14
\end{array}
$$

Example 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

~~12345678~~
1357
1256
1458
1234
1368
1278
1467
1234
13
12
14

Example 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

~~12345678~~
1357
1256
1458
1234
1368
1278
1467
1234
13
12
14

Example 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

~~12345678~~
1357
1256
1458
1234
1368
1278
1467
~~1234~~
13
12
14

Example 2

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]$$

~~12345678~~
1357
1256
1458
1234
1368
1278
1467
~~1234~~
13
12
14

This is also a $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting family over [8] containing 10 sets.

Example (Sunflower family)

Let $\mathcal{F}_s := \{12, 13, \ldots, 1n, 1234, 1256, \ldots, 12(n-1)n\}$.
Then, $\mathcal{F}_s$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting, and $|\mathcal{F}_s| = \frac{3n}{2} - 2$.

Example (Sunflower family)

Let $\mathcal{F}_s := \{12, 13, \ldots, 1n, 1234, 1256, \ldots, 12(n-1)n\}$.
Then, $\mathcal{F}_s$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting, and $|\mathcal{F}_s| = \frac{3n}{2} - 2$.

Example (Hadamard family)

Let H be an $m \times m$ Hadamard matrix in normal form, and let J be the $m \times m$ all-ones matrix. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_{3m}$ be the rows of

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & H \\ H & -H \\ H & -J \end{bmatrix},$$

viewed as the $\{\pm 1\}$-incidence vectors of subsets of $[2m]$.

Then, $\mathcal{F}_H := \{A_i : i \in [3m] \setminus \{1, 2m+1\}\}$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting family. Writing $2m = n$, we have $|\mathcal{F}_H| = 3n/2 - 2$.

Are these families extremal?

Even a linear upper bound is not known!

Theorem (Balachandran–Mathew–Mishra 2019)
Let $\theta \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a θ-intersecting family over $[n]$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq O_\theta(n \log(n)^2 \log\log(n)).$$

Conjecture (Balachandran–Mathew–Mishra 2019)
Let $\theta \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that any θ-intersecting family over $[n]$ has size at most cn.

A closer look at the two examples (Round 1)

These two examples are at the extreme ends of a tower of *hierarchically* $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting families.

A closer look at the two examples (Round 1)

These two examples are at the extreme ends of a tower of *hierarchically* $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting families.

- In the sunflower family

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$$

for any $r \geq 2$ and any pairwise distinct $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in \mathcal{F}_s$ we have $|A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_r| \in \left\{\frac{1}{2}|A_1|, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}|A_r|\right\}$.

A closer look at the two examples (Round 1)

These two examples are at the extreme ends of a tower of *hierarchically* $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting families.

- In the sunflower family

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$$

  for any $r \geq 2$ and any pairwise distinct $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in \mathcal{F}_s$ we have $|A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_r| \in \left\{\frac{1}{2}|A_1|, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}|A_r|\right\}$.

- In the Hadamard family

$$\mathcal{F}_H = \{1357, 1256, 1458, 1234, 1368, 1278, 1467, 13, 12, 14\}$$

  this property is not satisfied even for $r = 3$.

Hierarchically r-closed fractional θ-intersecting families

Definition

Let $r \geq 2$ and $\theta \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $[n]$ is called hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting if, for each $2 \leq t \leq r$ and any t distinct sets $A_1, \ldots, A_t$ in $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} A_i\right| \in \{\theta|A_i| : 1 \leq i \leq t\}.$$

Hierarchically r-closed fractional θ-intersecting families

Definition

Let $r \geq 2$ and $\theta \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $[n]$ is called hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting if, for each $2 \leq t \leq r$ and any t distinct sets $A_1, \ldots, A_t$ in $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\left| \bigcap_{i=1}^{t} A_i \right| \in \{\theta|A_i| : 1 \leq i \leq t\}.$$

Question

What is the maximum size of a hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting family over $[n]$, when $r \geq 3$?

$\mathcal{F}_s$ is a typical hierarchically intersecting family



Bouquets of sunflowers



All petals are disjoint from all cores

θ-intersecting bouquets are linear in size!



All petals are disjoint from all cores

Hierarchically intersecting families have large bouquets



Hierarchically intersecting families are linear in size!

Theorem
(Balachandran–Bhattacharya–Kher–Mathew–S. 2023)

There is a constant $c_\theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$ such that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is an r-closed θ-intersecting family over $[n]$ with $r \geq 3$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq c_\theta n$.

Hierarchically intersecting families are linear in size!

Theorem (Balachandran–Bhattacharya–Kher–Mathew–S. 2023)

There is a constant $c_\theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$ *such that, if* $\mathcal{F}$ *is an* r*-closed* θ*-intersecting family over* $[n]$ *with* $r \geq 3$*, then* $|\mathcal{F}| \leq c_\theta n$*.*

When $\theta = 1/2$*,*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor - 2$$

for all $n \geq 2$*.*

Hierarchically intersecting families are linear in size!

Theorem
(Balachandran–Bhattacharya–Kher–Mathew–S. 2023)

There is a constant $c_\theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$ *such that, if* $\mathcal{F}$ *is an* r*-closed* θ*-intersecting family over* $[n]$ *with* $r \geq 3$*, then* $|\mathcal{F}| \leq c_\theta n$*.*

When $\theta = 1/2$,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor - 2$$

for all $n \geq 2$*.*

Moreover:

- *Any family* $\mathcal{F}$ *that attains this bound is just* $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_s)$ *for some permutation* σ *of* $[n]$*.*
- *There exists an absolute constant* $C > 0$ *such that the following holds: if* $|\mathcal{F}| \geq (\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon)n$ *for some* $0 < \epsilon < 0.1$*, then for some permutation* σ *of* $[n]$*,* $|\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \mathcal{F}_s| < C\epsilon n$*.*

Bounded θ-intersecting families . . .

- We now know hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting families have large bouquets in them.

Bounded θ-intersecting families ...

- We now know hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting families have large bouquets in them.
- The Hadamard families do not have any large bouquets in them.

Bounded θ-intersecting families . . .

- We now know hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting families have large bouquets in them.
- The Hadamard families do not have any large bouquets in them.
- But the Hadamard families also have sets of large sizes (namely, $n/2$ and $n/4$), whereas the sunflower family has sets of small sizes (namely 2 and 4).

Bounded θ-intersecting families ...

- We now know hierarchically r-closed θ-intersecting families have large bouquets in them.
- The Hadamard families do not have any large bouquets in them.
- But the Hadamard families also have sets of large sizes (namely, $n/2$ and $n/4$), whereas the sunflower family has sets of small sizes (namely 2 and 4).

If the sets in a θ-intersecting family $\mathcal{F}$ are not very large, then is the size of $\mathcal{F}$ linear in n?

Bounded θ-intersecting families ...

Say that a family $\mathcal{F}$ is w-bounded if all the sets in $\mathcal{F}$ have size at most w.

Theorem (Deza 1974)

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a w-bounded family of subsets of $[n]$ such that all pairwise intersections have the same cardinality. If $|\mathcal{F}| \geq w^2 - w + 2$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a sunflower.

Proposition (Balachandran–Das–S. 2024)

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a w-bounded θ-intersecting family over $[n]$. Then, there is a bouquet $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{B}| \leq w^3$.

Bounded θ-intersecting families are linear in size!

Theorem (Balachandran–Das–S. 2024)

If $w \leq O(n^{1/3})$ then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that the following holds: for all sufficiently large n, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a w-bounded θ-intersecting family over $[n]$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq Cn$.

Theorem (Balachandran–Das–S. 2024)

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a $o(n^{1/3})$-bounded $\frac{a}{b}$-intersecting family over $[n]$,

then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq (C_\theta + o(1))n$, where $C_\theta = \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor b/a \rfloor} \frac{1}{i}$.

The constant is tight for $\theta \in \{1/3\} \cup [1/2, 1)$.

A closer look at the two examples (Round 2)

- Both $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ have sets of only two distinct sizes: 2 and 4 for $\mathcal{F}_s$, and $n/2$ and $n/4$ for $\mathcal{F}_H$.
- A trivial upper bound on the size of a θ-intersecting family over $[n]$ having sets of only two distinct sizes is $2n$.
- But $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ have size $\frac{3n}{2} - 2$.

A closer look at the two examples (Round 2)

- Both $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ have sets of only two distinct sizes: 2 and 4 for $\mathcal{F}_s$, and $n/2$ and $n/4$ for $\mathcal{F}_H$.
- A trivial upper bound on the size of a θ-intersecting family over $[n]$ having sets of only two distinct sizes is $2n$.
- But $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ have size $\frac{3n}{2} - 2$.

Can the upper bound of $2n$ be improved when $\mathcal{F}$ has sets of only two distinct sizes?

A matrix associated to $\mathcal{F}_s$ over [8]

$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]$$

A matrix associated to $\mathcal{F}_s$ over [8]

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$$

$$XX^T = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccc}
8 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 4 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\
\hline
4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 8
\end{array}\right]$$

A matrix associated to $\mathcal{F}_s$ over [8]

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$$

$$M = (8J - XX^T)/2$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccc} 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

A matrix associated to $\mathcal{F}_H$ over [8]

$$\mathcal{F}_H = \{1357, 1256, 1458, 1234, 1368, 1278, 1467, 13, 12, 14\}$$

$$M = (8J - XX^T)/2$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccc} 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Low-rank symmetric matrices with zero diagonal

- By these constructions, we get $n \times n$ matrices of rank $\approx 2n/3$ (since the families $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ have size $\approx 3n/2$).
- Similarly, if there are θ-intersecting families over $[n]$ of size $2n$, then we will get $n \times n$ matrices of rank $n/2$.

Low-rank symmetric matrices with zero diagonal

- By these constructions, we get $n \times n$ matrices of rank $\approx 2n/3$ (since the families $\mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ have size $\approx 3n/2$).
- Similarly, if there are θ-intersecting families over $[n]$ of size $2n$, then we will get $n \times n$ matrices of rank $n/2$.

How low can the rank of such matrices be?

- Symmetric
- Zero diagonal
- Off-diagonal entries are nonzero and either α or β.

Denote the collection of all such matrices by $\mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(m)}, \beta^{(n)})$.

$\mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(m)}, \beta^{(n)})$ and bipartite graphs

$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccc}
0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline
2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]$$

$\mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(m)}, \beta^{(n)})$ and bipartite graphs

$\mathcal{F}_s = \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 1234, 1256, 1278\}$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccc}
0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline
2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]$$

Let the α denote incidence and β denote non-incidence in the off-diagonal blocks of M.
Then, we get an associated bipartite graph G_M.

Multiplicity of eigenvalues vs. ranks of matrices

Theorem (Balachandran–S. 2024)

Let $M \in \mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(m)}, \beta^{(n)})$*. Let* $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}$ *be given by*

$$\mu^2 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)^2}.$$

If ν *is the multiplicity of* μ *as an eigenvalue of* G_M*, then*

$$|\mathrm{rank}(M) - (m + n - \nu)| \leq 2.$$

Symmetric designs

Theorem (Rowlinson 2016)

Let G be a connected bipartite graph of order $n > 5$, with $\mu \notin \{-1, 0\}$ as an eigenvalue of multiplicity $\nu > 1$.

(a) *If d is the maximum degree in G, then $\nu \leq n - 1 - d$.*

(b) *If equality holds in (a), then $\nu \leq d - 1$.*

(c) *If equality holds in (b), then G is the bipolar cone over a graph G_0, where G_0 is either the incidence graph of a symmetric 2-design, or a 2-balanced bipartite graph.*

Symmetric designs

Definition

A symmetric 2-(v, k, λ) design Δ is a collection of k-subsets of $[v]$ such that every pair of elements in v belongs to exactly λ sets in the collection, and $|\Delta| = v$.

- Any symmetric 2-(v, k, λ) design Δ has an associated bipartite point-block incidence graph G_Δ, which has spectrum

$$\{v, (\sqrt{k-\lambda})^{(v-1)}, (-\sqrt{k-\lambda})^{(v-1)}, -v\}.$$

Low-rank matrices in $\mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(m)}, \beta^{(n)})$ over $\mathbb{R}$

Let $\beta := (3+\sqrt{5})/2$. For $n = 5$, we have

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta \\
\hline
\beta & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta \\
1 & \beta & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & 0 & \beta & \beta & \beta \\
1 & 1 & \beta & 1 & 1 & \beta & \beta & 0 & \beta & \beta \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & 1 & \beta & \beta & \beta & 0 & \beta \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta & 0
\end{array}\right]$$

and $\mathrm{rank}(M) = 6$.

In general, we can find matrices $M_{2n} \in \mathrm{Sym}(1^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)})$ such that $\mathrm{rank}(M_{2n}) \leq n+3$. These matrices are constructed from the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ minus a perfect matching.

Low-rank matrices in $\mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(m)}, \beta^{(n)})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ (or $\mathbb{Z}$)

Theorem (Balachandran–S. 2024)

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $c_\varepsilon \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)$ and $\beta_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that there is a sequence of matrices $M_{2n} \in \mathrm{Sym}((\beta_\varepsilon - 1)^{(n)}, \beta_\varepsilon^{(n)})$ for which $\mathrm{rank}(M_{2n}) \leq c_\varepsilon n + O(1)$.

These matrices are constructed from Hadamard designs, which are symmetric 2-$(4n-1, 2n-1, n-1)$ designs.

Ruling out candidates for low-rank matrices over $\mathbb{Z}$

Many of the known infinite families of symmetric 2-(v, k, λ) designs have the property that $k - \lambda$ is a prime power.

Almost none of these families are viable candidates for producing low rank matrices!

Proposition (Balachandran–S. 2024)

Let Δ be a symmetric 2-(v, k, λ) design with $k - \lambda = p^m$ for some prime p and integer $m \geq 1$. Consider $M_\Delta \in \mathrm{Sym}(\alpha^{(v)}, \beta^{(v)})$.

If $\mathrm{rank}(M_\Delta) \leq v + 3$, then $p^m = 2$.

The Fano plane $PG(2,2)$

If we demand $\alpha : \beta :: 1 : 2$, then the Hadamard construction is longer helpful.

In fact, the 2-$(7, 3, 1)$ design (i.e., the Fano plane) is the *only* symmetric 2-design that produces matrices of low rank *and* which has entries in the proportion 1 : 2 [Royle 2023].

This construction gives matrices $M_N \in \mathrm{Sym}(2^{(7n)}, 4^{(7n)})$ of rank at most $\frac{4N}{7}$ where $N = 14n$, improving the previously best-known bound of $\frac{2N}{3}$.

The matrix arising from the Fano plane for $N = 14$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc|ccccccc}
0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline
2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The matrix arising from the Fano plane for $N = 14$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccc|cccc}
0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The Fano family $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Fano}}$

For $N = 14$, we can actually construct a $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting family $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Fano}}$ over [8] of size 14:

$$\{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}$$
$$\cup$$
$$\{1234, 1256, 1278\}$$
$$\cup$$
$$\{1357, 1368, 1458, 1467\}$$

Similar modifications can be used to get $\frac{1}{2}$-intersecting families over $[n]$ of size more than $\frac{3n}{2} - 2$ for $n \leq 15$.

References

[1] N. Balachandran, R. Mathew, T. K. Mishra. *Fractional L-intersecting families*. Electron. J. Combin. **26** (2019), no. 2, #P2.40, doi:10.37236/7846. MR3982269, Zbl 1416.05275

[2] N. Balachandran, S. Bhattacharya, K. V. Kher, R. Mathew, B. Sankarnarayanan. *On hierarchically closed fractional intersecting families*. Electron. J. Combin. **30** (2023), no. 4, #P4.37, doi:10.37236/11651. Addendum available at arXiv:2211.02540 [math.CO]. MR4672585, Zbl 07806264

[3] N. Balachandran, S. Das, B. Sankarnarayanan. *Bounded fractional intersecting families are linear in size*. Preprint (2024). Available at arXiv:2402.14981 [math.CO]

[4] N. Balachandran, B. Sankarnarayanan. *Low-rank matrices, tournaments, and symmetric designs*. Linear Algebra Appl. **694** (2024), 136–147, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2024.04.006. Zbl 07850917